

MINUTES OF THE GLADES COUNTY BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
MEETING ON TUESDAY, MARCH 8, 2016 AT 9:00 A.M. IN THE COUNTY
COMMISSIONERS MEETING ROOM, GLADES COUNTY COURTHOUSE, MOORE
HAVEN, FLORIDA

The Glades County Board of County Commissioners met on Tuesday, March 8, 2016 at
9:00 a.m. with the following Commissioners present:

Tim Stanley, Chairman
Weston Pryor, Vice Chairman
Donna Storter Long
Paul Beck
John Ahern

Others present:

Julie Mann Braddock, Administrative Secretary
Richard Pringle, County Attorney
Paul Carlisle, County Manager
Avant Brown, County Road Superintendent
Paul McGehee, Glades Electric Cooperative/Glades EDC
Jenny Allen, Community Development Department
Inga Williams, Community Development Director
Lenice Taylor, County Manager's Office
Matthew Howard, Johnson Engineering
Joe Pepe, Administrator Health Department
Missie Barletto, AIM Engineering
Raoul Bataller, Hendry Glades Sunday News
Bob Jones, Public Safety Director
Duane Pottorff, GCSO
Caleb Pringle
Tracy Whirls, Executive Director - Glades EDC
5 citizens

Chairman Stanley called the meeting to order at 9:00 a.m.

PRAYER

Commissioner Beck gave the invocation.

PLEDGE

Vice Chairman Pryor led the Pledge of Allegiance.

AGENDA AMENDMENTS

There were none.

PRESENTATIONS / AWARDS

There were none.

PUBLIC INPUT ON AGENDA ITEMS

There were none.

PUBLIC HEARINGS

There were none.

CONSENT AGENDA

1. **Approved payment of County Warrants. (Exhibit A)**
General Fund: 77909 – 77997
Tourism Development: 1032
Intergovernmental Radio: 10294 – 10295
E911 - 113
2. **Approved the Minutes of the February 22, 2016 Regular Meeting.**
3. **Approved the Minutes of the February 9, 2016 Regular Meeting.**

ON MOTION of Commissioner Beck seconded by Vice Chairman Pryor the Board approved the Consent Agenda items.

Motion carried by 5 – 0 vote.

BUSINESS AGENDA

4. **Glades County Other Postemployment Benefits Program**

County Manager Carlisle stated no Board action was required on this item. He stated it had been included on the agenda for information purposes only.

Chairman Stanley questioned the County's liability in the amount of \$1 million to its employees for annual leave and sick leave accruals.

County Manager Carlisle stated there was a limit of 240 hours for the annual leave accrual per employee; however, the sick leave continued to accrue and was pretty substantial in some cases.

5. **Records Disposition (Community Development Department)**

County Manager Carlisle stated these old plans and records had met their retention schedule limits and asked that they be destroyed.

Commissioner Beck asked if these records were archived somewhere and kept long term.

County Manager Carlisle stated these records were not scanned and were not required to be.

Chairman Stanley asked if the records were being scanned now.

Mrs. Allen stated the Community Development Department was only required to keep this type of record for 10 years. She stated these records were now being stored electronically and would probably be kept longer in the future.

Commissioner Beck expressed concern with getting rid of plats and maps.

Mrs. Allen stated the plats were retained in the Clerk's office and were not ever destroyed. She stated the records that were being considered today for destruction were building permits for structures.

ON MOTION of Commissioner Beck seconded by Commissioner Ahern the Board approved the disposition of records as requested by the Community Development Department. (Residential Building Permits, Receipts and Cash Reports; Purchase Orders; and Certificate of Competency – Contractor Licensing)

Motion carried by 5- 0 vote.

6. Discussion Regarding Revisions to the Subdivision Regulations and Associated Code Sections

County Manager Carlisle gave a brief overview of why this item was being brought to the Board for review. He stated some inconsistencies had been found in areas where the properties had been subdivided and really did not follow the code. He stated some of these codes were not allowing property owners to fully use their properties. He stated this was for discussion purposes only.

Inga Williams, Community Development Director, stated these were revisions to the subdivision regulations and certain other parts of the code and that a new code had been created to manage roadways. She stated there were 4 main parts to the subdivision regulations, 2 of which were in Section 137-4 on page 7. She stated the first part defined a "lot of record" and the second part would be the "exemptions to platting" in that section. She stated the third part covered "simple subdivisions" and the fourth covered "major subdivisions". She opened the discussion with the definition of a lot of record. She stated the County had revised the definitions in the Land Development Regulations in 2003. She stated the definition basically said that any lot that was created and conformed to the Zoning Code prior to April 23, 2003 date was considered a lot of record. She stated this definition already existed in the Subdivision Regulations and was not revised. She stated the 2 definitions conflicted. She stated she had used the 2003 definition of lot of record in the revisions. She stated the first subdivision regulation was adopted in 1974 and anything created before that and that still existed today was considered a lot of record. She stated the timeframe between 2003 and 2006 needed to be addressed. She stated the old subdivision regulations applied to this time frame; however, there were 5 Ordinances that applied to subdivision regulations between these dates. She stated the County had then created new subdivision regulations in 2006. She stated the new

regulations should have been applied from then on. She asked how the Board wished to address defining a lot of record. She suggested that the Board declare that anything created prior to the 2006 date would be considered a lot of record.

Chairman Stanley questioned why the Board would not go back to the 1974 date to address the problems with the people who had already improperly subdivided their property.

Mrs. Williams explained that the Board could choose either date (2003 or 2006) as long as the lot conformed to the zoning regulations at the time it was created.

Commissioner Storter suggested using the 2006 date and that from this point forward the regulations conform to whatever the Board adopted now.

Commissioner Beck asked how many non-conforming lots existed in Glades County at this time.

Mrs. Williams stated she was not sure. She stated if a building permit had already been issued the property would be considered a lot of record and nothing would be retracted.

Chairman Stanley asked what Mrs. Williams' recommendation would be.

Mrs. Williams stated the County had already issued amnesty in 2003 to these lots. She stated she did not see where adding 3 years to this would make a difference.

Attorney Pringle stated he had no issue with using the 2006 date.

Commissioner Storter Long asked where these dates would be plugged into the document.

Mrs. Williams stated page 8 paragraph 3 would be revised to read: "Lot was created between July 12, 1971 and May 9, 2006...". She stated paragraph 4 would be deleted.

Raoul Bataller, Hendry Glades Sunday News, questioned what the issue was with the regulations. He stated some people had property that fell under antiquated, outdated ordinances. He asked if the Board wanted them to conform to the latest ordinance.

County Manager Carlisle stated there were properties that had been allowed to rezone that were now not buildable lots. He stated these revisions would give those people a methodology to make those lots buildable.

Commissioner Storter stated if a plat had not been vacated a person could still build on the lots no matter their size as long as they met the set-backs. She questioned subdivisions platted prior to 1973 where the property was sold by metes and bounds

description which included part of the subdivision acreage next to it; she asked if you could revert back and use those lots of record.

Attorney Pringle stated from a legal stand point, if the lots were created of record, the body of regulation that was in place at the time would apply to those lots. He stated there may be other issues that arose, but the recorded document that created all of the individual parcels would still be recognized. He stated there were some rules about recombining parcels. He stated the plat may be recognized but the person may not be able to get a septic system permit from the State because of the size of the lot.

Commissioner Storter Long stated these revisions would somewhat equalize the opportunities for numerous residents and clarify a lot of issues.

Commissioner Beck commented on the right of way issues. He stated he did not believe this Board had enough knowledge to ask the proper questions and solve all of the problems with the code. He expressed concern with the regulations being defensible.

Commissioner Storter Long asked what the negatives to these revisions would be.

Mrs. Williams stated she would still have to check and make sure the lots met the zoning requirements at the time of creation. She stated the Board did not have the revisions to the fee chart but she had added a \$30.00 fee for the research of these lots.

Matthew Howard, Johnson Engineering, asked if the “lot of record” definition covered subdivisions only or included lots that were purchased “fee simple”. He asked if a person had purchased 3 parcels under separate deeds if they would be considered 3 separate lots of record.

Mrs. Williams stated if the separate deeds still existed then yes they would fall under the definition.

Mr. Howard asked if this would still apply if the parcels had been combined for simplicity so that the owner only received one tax bill.

Mrs. Williams stated she would be looking at legal descriptions. She stated if the parcels were still separate and not combined, not by the Property Appraiser’s description, but by how the parcel was legally described on the deed, then the parcel would be a lot of record.

County Manager Carlisle explained that if the parcels had been combined on a single deed then the parcels would be considered combined and not separate; however, if the Property Appraiser had just combined the parcels for tax billing purposes then the parcels would still be considered separate.

Raoul Bataller, Hendry Glades Sunday News, stated he believed the down side of this was the loss of meaning that was originally intended for a parcel 20 – 40 years ago. He stated the Board would be making possible things that were never intended. He suggested that the Board allow the evolving rules and economics to make these changes over time.

Commissioner Storter Long questioned the zoning on older parcels. She stated people would be able to build on lots that were not originally zoned for these types of buildings or homes.

County Manger Carlisle stated if the property had been rezoned, it was more than likely a property owner's rezoning and not the County's.

Commissioner Storter Long questioned the lots that were not rezoned.

County Manager Carlisle stated there was currently a property that fell into this situation within the County. He stated there was an expiration date on the rezoning.

Commissioner Storter Long stated she did not wish to create more problems for the County.

Attorney Pringle stated the operable word within these revisions was "amnesty". He stated the Board would be creating a very clearly defined line in time that would give certain properties an opportunity for development. He stated the vast majority of the land would be developed in the future and the new rules would capture all of those pieces. He stated the goal was to recognize what had happened in the past and to set rules for what would happen in the future.

It was the consensus of the Board to use the May 9, 2006 date.

County Manager Carlisle stated page 9 line 25 (paragraph ii) addressed the requirement for road access.

Mrs. Williams stated the lot split easement was not as major as the simple subdivision easement. She stated the lot split easement could be a driveway. She stated the requirements for the structure of this easement was not as in depth as the simple subdivision easement.

Commissioner Storter Long questioned the definition of limited access on page 4.

County Manager Carlisle stated limited access was typically on major highways where access was only allowed at certain intervals.

Mrs. Williams referred to the exemptions from platting requirements. She stated there were different exemptions from platting when platting was required. She stated if there was an existing plat and someone wished to change a lot within the subdivision they would have to do a new subdivision. She stated some of the exemptions were as follows: a public conveyance, a boundary adjustment, a lot re-combination, and a lot split (which included the family homestead provision). She stated a lot split could only create 2 lots in total and must utilize the entire acreage. She stated the lot would have to meet the land development regulations for the Comprehensive Plan. She stated the lot could not create any new rights of way, except that it could utilize an easement. She stated that currently a lot split had to be done on a County maintained roadway. She stated this revision would allow the split to be done on a County maintained roadway, a private road, or accessed through an easement (driveway). She stated there were layers to this provision. She stated if the split was on a County/State or private maintained road/street that met the Engineering Design Manual requirements for construction then the lot could have access through an easement on that parcel. She explained that if the road/street did not meet the requirements of the Engineering Design Manual then the minimum lot size would be 20 acres.

Chairman Stanley asked if these revisions would be reviewed by the public.

County Manager Carlisle stated the subdivision regulations would go before the Planning & Zoning Board for comments/recommendations and approval. He stated the regulations would then be brought back to the Board for approval at a public hearing.

There was a discussion regarding adequate access.

Attorney Pringle stated these revisions would create the definition for a legal lot but would not address the issuance of building permits and access that would be required. He stated all of the other regulations and different chapters of the County's code and State law would still apply.

Matthew Howard, Johnson Engineering, asked for clarification regarding the 2006 "amnesty" date. He asked if this meant what the property was like as of that date. The Board clarified that this was correct.

Mrs. Williams stated the next item the Board needed to address was the Family Homestead provision (page 10 paragraph 5). She stated there was not a lot of guidance in the State statutes about family homesteads. She stated the statute required that the property had to be solely used as a homestead by an individual whose grandparent, parent, stepparent, adopted parent, sibling, child, stepchild, adopted child, or grandchild of the person who conveyed the parcel to the individual. She stated the parcel did not have to meet the density or intensity requirements of the zoning district and that it could only apply once to any individual.

GLADES COUNTY COMMISSION – MARCH 8, 2016

Commissioner Storter Long asked about the requirement that the individual must occupy the parcel as his/her homestead for 15 years.

Mrs. Williams stated she had added this requirement to the provision.

Commissioner Storter Long asked if this was typical in other counties.

Mrs. Williams stated there were only 2 other counties in the State that had the family homestead provision in their code (Alachua and Wakulla).

County Manager Carlisle stated the Community Development Department was trying to develop a process so that someone could not come in and divide a parcel among family members into numerous lots, obtain building permits, and then turn around and sell the lots. He stated 15 years was an arbitrary number and could be changed.

Commissioner Storter Long stated she did not know how the County could require someone to live somewhere or to restrict them from selling for 15 years. She stated she did not care to have this provision in the regulations.

County Manager Carlisle stated the statute reads that irrespective of the County's Comprehensive Plan and Zoning Districts, the parcels can be divided. He suggested reevaluating this provision.

Commissioner Storter Long referred to page 11 lines 6 -10. She questioned the size of the parent and subsequent parcels.

Mrs. Williams explained that the parent parcel that remained would have to meet zoning requirements.

Commissioner Storter Long questioned how the County could dictate what size home could be placed on the property.

Mrs. Williams stated the size requirements of a dwelling were already in the existing code.

Mrs. Allen stated there would be an application process for the homestead provision. She stated the property owner would know what the outcome was going to be. She stated they would have to meet certain guidelines.

Commissioner Storter Long stated there would still be people who recorded their own deeds without going through the Community Development Department.

Mrs. Williams stated this was correct and that they would be creating illegal lots.

Chairman Stanley stated this needed to be addressed in the future.

Commissioner Storter Long suggested the Clerk be involved in the process.

Attorney Pringle stated the Clerk had to record what was presented to them as long as it meet the minimum requirements for recording.

Mrs. Williams stated if the property owner wanted to ensure the split was legal they would need to have the Community Development Department review the transaction.

County Manager Carlisle stated the adoption of these revisions would create equitable rights for the property owners. He stated from this point forward the property owner would have to perform due diligence to ensure what they were dividing meet the requirements of the zoning department.

Attorney Pringle stated these revisions would also enable the County to meet its responsibility to provide the basic services that it provided. He suggested the Board allow staff and the Planning & Zoning Board to review the homestead provision and bring it back to the Board for further review.

Mrs. Williams stated the next provision that needed review was on page 12 - Simple Subdivisions. She stated there were 2 layers to this provision as well. She stated with the existing code if the property was adjacent to a County maintained right of way there could be an unlimited number of lot splits in a simple subdivision. She stated this code would retain that provision. She stated limited access would come into play as well. She stated the property owner would have to have a parallel access road so that there would not be numerous driveways on a County maintained road. She stated this also addressed conforming private streets. She stated the roads would have to conform to the construction standards under Chapter 58. She stated the other layer of this provision provided that if the lots were not adjacent a road right of way or they were on a private road or easement there would be a limit of 6 lots. She stated the easement would have to be built to the standards of Chapter 58 Article 3. She stated the County would also require that a record be recorded with the Clerk that designated who would have access to the easement, how it would be maintained, and who would pay for the maintenance. She stated simple subdivisions would be approved Staff and not require a public hearing.

Commissioner Storter Long questioned properties on Gate Road. She stated there were numerous residents already living there. She asked if someone who owned property there with a "lot of record" as of 2006 could obtain a permit.

County Manager Carlisle stated if there was a dedicated easement then yes they would be able to obtain building permits.

Attorney Pringle stated the Board had talked a lot about the May 2006 date and had defined amnesty as being prior to that date. He stated he believed Mrs. Williams wished

to combine the time frame between July 12, 1971 and April 1, 2003 with the time frame of April 1, 2003 through May 9, 2006 so that those lots of record were under a certain set of rules and regulations. He clarified that lots created after May 9, 2006 but before the date of this ordinance that were created as a lot of record and satisfied the rules and regulations implemented May 9, 2006 would be recognized as a legal lot. He stated there was amnesty that would apply to lots created after May 9, 2006 but they would have to comply with the rules adopted on May 9, 2006. He clarified that amnesty would cover the lots created before May 9, 2006. He stated the new rules and regulations would only apply to lots created after adoption of the new ordinance.

The Board recessed for a break at 11:02 a.m.

The Board reconvened at 11:11 a.m.

Mrs. Williams asked the Board for consensus regarding the limitation of 6 lots on an easement in simple subdivisions. She stated anything more than 6 lots on an easement would create major subdivision.

It was the consensus of the Board to allow 6 lots as a maximum on an easement in simple subdivisions.

Mrs. Williams stated the next provision that needed review was on the bottom of page 14 (top of page 15) - Major Subdivisions. She stated several things that were basic to a subdivision requirement had been added. She stated the big changes came in how the subdivisions were reviewed and approved. She stated she had put time limits in the regulations. She stated after the preliminary plat the property owner would have 5 years to complete the final plat. She stated once the final plat was approved the property owner would have 1 year to record it with the Clerk's Office.

Chairman Stanley questioned what would happen to the plat if it was not recorded within the year.

Mrs. Williams stated the plat would expire.

Commissioner Storter Long asked what obligations the property owner would have in order to sell the lots after the plat was recorded.

Mrs. Williams stated the lots could not be sold until all requirements were met. She stated there could not be any preconstruction sales.

Attorney Pringle stated the County could not restrict the marketability of the parcels. He stated the property owner could not get a building permit until the plat was completed.

Commissioner Storter Long questioned the fees for the reviews.

Mrs. Williams stated the County did require payment for the engineering review. She referred to page 17 regarding the time frames and fees. She stated she had added that the preliminary plat would go to the Planning & Zoning Board and the Board of County Commissioners and the final plat would only go to the Board of County Commissioners.

Vice Chairman Pryor asked if these regulations were similar to surrounding county's codes.

Mrs. Williams stated they were. She stated the final 2 provisions that needed review were the new Engineering Design Manual and the changes to the existing Land Development Regulation definitions.

County Manager Carlisle stated the road subdivision standards and road standards were items put into place to help people understand the requirements for structural stability so that the roads could support ambulance and fire service vehicles. He stated these standards were based on FDOT standards. He stated these were basically uniform from one county to the next. He asked if the Board wanted to go through the standards individually or if they had any questions.

Chairman Stanley stated he would like to read through the standards again and bring the revisions back to the Board for further review in the future.

County Manager Carlisle stated staff had direction on how to move forward with the family homestead provision and that it would be reviewed again by the Planning & Zoning Board and then brought back to the Board of County Commissioners for a public hearing.

Commissioner Storter Long asked if all of this would come back to the Board prior to the public hearing.

Vice Chairman Pryor suggested a workshop.

Chairman Stanley suggested letting the revisions go to the Planning & Zoning Board so that the Board could see their comments first.

Commissioner Storter Long asked if the Board could hold a workshop with the Planning & Zoning Board.

County Manager Carlisle stated that would be up to the Board.

Chairman Stanley stated he felt the workshops should be held individually.

Mrs. Williams explained that there were a lot of definitions regarding flood plain, lots, and roadways that had been taken out of the subdivision regulations. She suggested that the

definitions that were located in the Land Development Regulations chapter be moved to the General Provisions portion of the document.

It was the consensus of the Board to review the new Engineering Design Manual and the changes to the existing Land Development Regulation definitions at a later date.

CONSTITUTIONAL OFFICERS

There was no discussion.

COUNTY ATTORNEY

Commissioner Ahern asked about the progress on the Moore Haven Canal contract.

Attorney Pringle stated the contract would be reviewed today. He stated he had been waiting for some exhibits that were needed and that those had been received last night.

Commissioner Ahern stated he had talked with Missie Barletto, AIM Engineering, regarding some different avenues for funding.

Attorney Pringle stated there was an element of open-endedness on the total length of the project so that if additional funds were found the project could be extended by change order.

Commissioner Beck commented on the recent discussions regarding the muddy water going into Lee County. He stated this may be a good time to try to find more money. He suggested that the South Florida Water Management Representative put forth to the District Board that they were an integral part of the process and could help find funding and fast track the Moore Haven Canal project to help with the turbidity levels.

COUNTY MANAGER

County Manager Carlisle introduced Susan Whidden, the new SHIP Coordinator/Administrative Assistant, and Gabriel Ibietatorremendia, the new Human Resources Director.

County Manager Carlisle stated he had really enjoyed participating in the Chalo Nitka parade and attending the livestock show. He stated it was great to see the community come together.

Commissioner Storter Long stated that a constituent had proposed to her that maybe the Board could reach out to the Donald Trump campaign to see if they were interested in investing in Americas Gateway. She asked if this was something the Board wished to think about.

Commissioner Beck suggested reaching out to all of the Presidential candidates.

Tracy Whirls, Executive Director - Glades County Economic Development Council, stated Chalo Nitka had been a really successful event this year. She stated the logo and the program book had been phenomenally popular with the Seminole Tribe this year. She stated all of the t-shirts had been sold except for 8 small children's shirts and 6 toddler shirts. She stated the EDC currently had 6 active projects that they were working on. She stated 3 – 4 were manufacturing projects, 1 was an agricultural project, and the other was a commercial project. She stated she would be exploring the possibility of some spec building either at Americas Gateway or at the Business Park. She stated the EDC's facebook page was receiving a great response. She stated the EDC had been interviewed by the Fort Myers News Press several weeks ago and the story was posted on the facebook page. She stated the story had also been sent out to a number of site consultants and she had received some positive feedback already. She stated the EDC was continuing its marketing efforts. She stated Americas Gateway and the Training Center would be featured in the upcoming issue of Florida Currents. She stated Americas Gateway had been featured as 1 of 5 case studies in an FDOT truck route and timing assessment that Cambridge Systematics was conducting for FDOT. She stated these case studies would be submitted to FDOT this week.

Commissioner Storter Long asked if Loves Travel Stop had obtained their permits yet.

County Manager Carlisle stated the permits had been submitted to the Community Development Department for review; however, because of some issues with FDOT, Loves had not yet taken possession of the property.

Commissioner Ahern asked if the County Manager's office had received any response on the RFP that was advertised for the old hotel property.

County Manager Carlisle stated one bid had been received so far. He stated the RFP had been sent out to 10 – 11 interested parties. He stated he had a lot of interest in the project; however, he had not received a lot of questions. He stated a memo would be sent reminding them of the March 17th at 2:00 p.m. deadline.

DEPARTMENT HEADS

There was no discussion.

PUBLIC INPUT ON NON-AGENDA ITEMS

There was none.

COMMISSIONERS ITEMS AND COMMENTS

Commissioner Storter Long commented on the property agreement with the Ortona Community Association. She stated she understood there had been some damage to the Washington Park Homeowners Association building. She stated if the Community Centers had a property management agreement in place they were allowed to rent the building out. She asked if the building could be rented out to a political group.

County Manager Carlisle stated the Association would have to invite the opposing parties. He stated that this had not been adopted yet but had been discussed and approved by the Board.

Chairman Stanley stated this was a State law.

Attorney Pringle stated the general rule of thumb was that if the building was a government owned (managed) structure then fairness (equal opportunity) was the rule. He stated the opportunity had to be given at the same time to all parties.

Commissioner Storter Long stated Buckhead Ridge would be holding a political rally for all local candidates on July 28th, Ortona on August 18th, and Muse on August 8th. She invited everyone to attend Ortona's Spaghetti Dinner on Thursday night.

Commissioner Ahern stated the Glades Youth Livestock Committee had been approached by a candidate regarding rental of the Doyle Conner Building for a fundraiser. He stated Glades Youth Livestock had chosen not to get involved in politics. He commented on the Chalo Nitka festival. He stated the festival had been a great success again this year. He stated the revenue numbers were as follows: for the concession stand, rodeo, and dance - \$94,897.00; the livestock sale - \$273,000.00 (without add-ons); the booklet sales, advertisement sales, t-shirt sales, booth rental, queen contest, and midway - \$51,000.00. He stated the festival brought in a lot of people for the weekend. He stated had spoken with a representative from the Army Corps of Engineers about the campground at the locks. He stated their engineering study had found quite a few defects with the existing structure. He stated the Corps basically wanted to take out the electric and tear down the one building that was remaining. He stated they were moving along with clean up and would pursue leasing the campground to an individual.

Vice Chairman Pryor welcomed the new employees. He commented on what a great success Chalo Nitka had been again this year. He stated the rodeo grounds would be used again this weekend for a Junior High School rodeo.

Commissioner Beck commented again on the water problems within the Lake. He stated there was a drainage issue with the lock ditch in Lakeport. He stated all of the surrounding lands drained into the rim canal and then continued into the locks where the water was pumped out. He stated the water was then full of mud from there to channel. He stated this increased the turbidity levels in the lake. He stated now would be an opportune time to try get more funding and to speed up the completion of the Moore Haven Canal project. He stated he would like to see the County obtain permits to clean up the ditches at Lakeport and the Moore Haven Canal.

GLADES COUNTY COMMISSION – MARCH 8, 2016

Commissioner Ahern agreed that the timing was right to look for money. He suggested hosting another dinner at David Chapman's camp and inviting all of the legislators to attend. He commented on the foul smell from the sludge plant at Brighton.

Chairman Stanley stated the Buckhead Ridge Community Center would be holding a yard sale on March 19th and that breakfast would be served.

Commissioner Beck stated the Lakeport Community Association would be hosting a pot luck dinner tonight beginning at 7:00 p.m.

ADJOURN

ON MOTION of Vice Chairman Pryor seconded by Commissioner Beck the Board adjourned the meeting.

Motion carried by 5 – 0 vote.

There being no further discussion, Chairman Stanley adjourned the meeting at 12:10 p.m.

Tim Stanley, Chairman

ATTEST:

Sandra H. Brown, Clerk of the Circuit Court

Approved: March 28, 2016

THESE MINUTES ARE NOT A VERBATIM RECORD. TAPES ARE AVAILABLE FOR ANYONE INTERESTED IN LISTENING TO THE ENTIRE MEETING.